

From:

Chris Lee

Sent:

17 June 2016 11:43

To:

Clare Nicholas - Env Services

Cc:

Doug Napier

Subject:

RE: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

Fine

From: Clare Nicholas - Env Services

Sent: 16 June 2016 15:11

To: Chris Lee

Subject: FW: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

For your approval - will be going via email - happy to top and tail

Clare Nicholas

Executive Assistant to Chris Lee, Director of Environment & Regeneration

Tel: 020 8545-3051 www.merton.gov.uk

Intimate outdoor picnic concerts, Live at Wimbledon Park August 26-29, find out more at merton.gov.uk/live



From: Doug Napier Sent: 16 June 2016 12:09

To: Clare Nicholas

Subject: RE: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

Proposed reply letter content:

Dear

Thank you, etc.

I am able to confirm that the car park at Tamworth Rec is indeed managed by the Council and is administered by the Environment & Regeneration Department, which is a department of the Council and not a private company.

This car park was originally designed to serve the needs of recreational users of the complex of open spaces in that vicinity but currently suffers from extraordinary levels of parking congestion, mostly unrelated to leisure uses, hence why we have reluctantly decided to introduce this scheme.

To clarify, the scheme is not being introduced in order to inconvenience or penalise users of the children's centre and, bearing that point in mind, we are proposing to introduce the charges between 8am and 4pm only and at a fee of 30p per hour, paid in 20 minute time slots with a minimum charge of 10p. The minimum period of 20 minutes for 10p would clearly cover most reasonable drop-off needs for users of the centre.

The anticipated spin-off benefit for all recognised and reasonable users of the site will be that there will be considerably more parking spaces available at any given time and that there will be considerably fewer vehicle movements on this small site too, something I'm sure that users of the children's centre would appreciate and welcome.

From: Doug Napier **Sent:** 13 June 2016 12:20

To: Alan Trumper

Subject: FW: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

And another. Again ducks required.

Doug

From: Chris Lee

Sent: 13 June 2016 12:18

To: Doug Napier **Cc:** Clare Nicholas

Subject: FW: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

Doug, is this one of yours?

Could you draft a reply for me please

Thanks

From: |

Sent: 11 June 2016 21:27 **To:** *L*. Chris Lee

Subject: Lavender Children Nursery - Car Park Pay meter

Dear Chris Lee (hopefully I have the correct email)

I am emailing in connection to the new parking meter placed in the car park which is located right next to the Lavender Children Nursery. I truly welcome this meter as the car park at times is heavily congested, and I have been made aware that the cost are very reasonable.

However, I am concerned that there is lack of information with regards to a quick drop off/pick up point (if any). As a mother of two children that attend the nursery I regularly use the car park for a maximum 15mins (on a Thursday and Friday) dropping and collecting the children as do many other parents throughout the week.

Having spoken with staff at the nursery, they advised that Merton Council do not run the car park. That it is Environment and Regeneration Department. I find this VERY strange considering on a quick google search your division is connected with Merton Council. So in fact any monetary value gained from the car park (including fines) Merton Council as a whole gains.

Merton Councils Children's division are currently going through a restructure, expanding the current nursery by two extra rooms. I do find it very strange that the same Council has not joined up its many divisions and been open and transparent with regards to the car park or taken into account the location (on a main road).

I would welcome some feedback with regards to a quick drop off/pick up point, as due to the location of the nursery (on a main road) the only way parents with cars can drop of their children SAFELY is using the car park.

However, if I am totally wrong about Environment and Regeneration Department being part of Merton Council, then I do apologies. But then I would like to know when/how the car park was sold/subcontracted to a private firm to run.

Note that I am copying in Alison Jones, who is the Head of Early Years, Childcare and Children's Centres for London Borough of Merton in case you wish to discuss anything with her.

I look forward to your response

Kind regards

From:

Doug Napier

Sent:

01 August 2017 09:03

To:

Paul Atie

Cc:

Jonathan Turner

Subject:

RE: ES/OFFSTREET Proposed car park: Sir Joseph hood Memorial Playing Fields

Long and complicated enquiry. Easier to talk. What do you wish to address?

The consultation process I will leave to you as you must do this exercise often. But what's the point of a consultation in advance of the formal consultation? What are we currently engaged in if it's not a consultation? Everybody is having an equal chance and, of course, it was no secret that the scheme was coming as they've had 12 months to warm up to it.

The consultation was always planned, of course, as it's a requirement, but been delayed and delayed for various reasons. The meters went in early, admittedly, and so in effect we are consulting on the details of the charging. Here is an opportunity for people to affect those details, but we are getting moanings about having the scheme at all.

There is no currently commuter problem because we have taken to locking the gates until 9.30am! This is a temporary measure only, agreed with Little Rascals to protect some parking for their customers. Otherwise the car park would be full or near full before 9am, as it was previously. People seem to have forgotten this.

The park opens at 8am and we have had complaints about the parking not being available then. P&D will give us the best of both worlds!

There are already parking problems in Marina Drive. P&D will not make those worse and may even ease them as the park will be open for longer for vehicles.

Doug

From: Paul Atie

Sent: 31 July 2017 16:58

To: Doug Napier; Jonathan Turner

Subject: RE: ES/OFFSTREET Proposed car park: Sir Joseph hood Memorial Playing Fields

Jonathan/Doug,

Can I please have some comments on the Councillors email below to add to the report.

Regards,

Paul Atie

Senior Parking Engineer MuseMerton

Environment and Regeneration London Borough of Merton

Direct Line: 020 8545 3337

Email: paul.atie@merton.gov.uk

Merton Council - Putting You First

From: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes

Sent: 06 July 2017 17:42

To: Paul Atie Cc: Chris Lee

Subject: REF: ES/OFFSTREET Proposed car park: Sir Joseph hood Memorial Playing Fields

Dear Paul

Response to Parking consultation: ES/OFFSTREET

Proposed car park: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields

I have received a lot of comments about this proposal since the base of the Pay and Display meter was installed in Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields a year ago.

I was first made aware of the installation of the P&D base when M1 , who lives in Marina Avenue told me about it. I asked Doug Napier who said it was for P&D. The subsequent email trail is given below in the Appendix and suggests that the outcome was predetermined, and that the consultation is an after-thought prompted by negative comments about the proposal from park users and residents.

I disagree with Doug Napier's statement (email of 18/7/2016) that "It's a case of now confirming the details [...] and not the principle."

As a ward councillor I was not consulted prior to the installation of the base for the P&D meter a year ago. I attend the committee meetings of the Raynes Park & West Barnes Residents' Association and, as far as I can remember, the matter was never discussed so I don't think the Residents' Association were consulted either. The Friends of SJHMPF were not consulted nor, I understand, were other stakeholders such as Raynes Park Little League.

The Friends of SJHMPF state that there is no commuter parking problem in the park, provided the gates are not opened too early. The Council contends that parks should be open to vehicles before 9.30 but has there ever been a complaint from a member of the public wishing to use the car park and playing field before the gates are opened? Pedestrians have access to the park before the vehicle gate is opened.

Residents in Marina Avenue regularly comment on the difficulty of parking in their road, especially when the park is busy. Also inconsiderate parking on the bend leading to the cul-

2

de-sac part of Marina Ave regularly causes congestion. This will get worse if P&D is implemented, as visitors will try to park for free in Marina Avenue. In his email to Mr (23/6/16), Chris Lee states that "We certainly recognise that there are historical parking pressures in the Marina Avenue area but consider that these are adversely affecting the park, and not vice versa..." The evidence for this statement seems to come from a visit to the park in the second half of the morning, when the car park was found to be full; my guess is that a good number of the drivers may have been parents /carers using Rascals, or going for longer walks, from the playing fields using other footpaths such as the one by the cemetery.

I am sure that the Council benefits financially from letting the Pavilion to Rascals. If parents/carers were to be deterred from going to Rascals by car parking charges, the gain to the Council of a few pence from P&D might be outweighed by loss of revenue from letting the Pavilion.

I am also concerned about the impact on disabled users. Will free parking be available for those with disabled badges? This is not mentioned on the Notice.

We all know the benefits of physical activity, and the importance of developing sporting activity in children for their long-term health. The introduction of parking charges on Saturday when parents bring children to the Little League from a wide area might reduce membership of this not-for-profit organisation.

There may be valid reasons to introduce P&D in other parks, but I do not consider that Pay and Display parking controls should be introduced at Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields.

Yours sincerely

Mzary-Jane Jeanes

West Barnes ward councillor

APPENDIX

From: Doug Napier

Sent: 18 July 2016 09:53

To: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes **Cc:** Chris Lee; Member Enquiry

Subject: RE: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Dear Mary-Jane,

I can't say that we have had complaints very recently, but I suspect that too many people have now become resigned to the situation.

The proposal is not new, nor is the problem, but this is the very worst site for parking abuses and it's clearly a nonsense that we cannot open the park gates to all users at 8am when the park opens formally.

It was more than two years ago that the concept first hit Members' radar when this was proposed as a budget saving contribution for my service area, albeit it was the commuter issue that was the primary focus, including in terms of selecting pilot sites.

I met with the Friends late last week and they seemed understanding and supportive of the broad aims, but concerned about the impacts upon the playgroup. The fee structure is not onerous, irrespective.

The consultation has, in effect, already started informally and we've had communications from about 3 of the 4 pilot sites already; but there is a formal process to go through that will take six weeks, but we've become rather ensnared by the informal comms in advance of that occurring. It's a case of now confirming the details, I feel, and not the principle - others have been pressing for this, looking at the big picture.

Kind regards,

Greenspaces Manager

Environment & Regeneration

Merton Council

From: Chris Lee

Sent: 18 July 2016 07:36

To: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes; Doug Napier

Subject: RE: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Dear Mary Jane, thanks for your email. I shall leave to Doug the answering of the finer points.

Regards

Chris

From: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes

Sent: 16 July 2016 16:13 **To:** Chris Lee; Doug Napier

Subject: FW: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Dear Chris and Doug

As you can imagine, I have been contacted by a number of residents about the proposed Pay and Display scheme for Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields in Motspur Park. I am grateful that Mr Ahmed forwarded your reply to me and I apologise for the delay in replying - I have just finished my batch of A level marking.

I am unsure why this scheme was first proposed. Have any complaints been received from park users and, if so, how many? Before deciding to install Pay & Display machines councillors were not consulted; were the Friends of SJHMP consulted?

What exactly are the "persistent parking abuses" mentioned in Chris's email to Mr. !? Local people who have spoken to me say they were happy with opening the park gates at 9.30 am to deters commuters; has the council received any complaints about this policy?

You mention the visit to SJHMP on a midweek morning, but my guess is that many of whose cars were in the car park would have been with their small children in the playgroup in the Pavilion. Parents and carers can combine a visit to the playgroup with a walk or visit to the playground, so the car park may have been full for the right reason. Has the proposal to have Pay and Display just been based on one visit?

I am pleased that a local consultation is going to be carried out. Please can you tell me how, and when, this is going to be carried out. I do think it would have been better to carry out the consultation before spending money on installing Pay and Display machines.

I appreciate the council's need to generate income but, if this is the reason for introducing Pay and Display, it would have been better to say so up-front at the start of a consultation process.

I look forward to hearing from you

Best wishes

Mary-Jane

Fro	m	•
-----	---	---

Sent: 23 June 2016 12:37

To: Councillor Gilli Lewis-Lavender; Councillor Brian Lewis-Lavender; Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes

Subject: Fw: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

FYI,

I have received the following communication from the council greenspaces team.

Kind regards

Sent: 23 June 2016 08:54 To:
Cc: Doug Napier
Subject: RE: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.
Dear Mr
Thank you for your recent enquiry of the matter of pay and display parking at Sir Joseph Hood Recreation
Ground.
This scheme is being reluctantly introduced at a small number of key parks across the borough in order to
help drive out a number of persistent parking abuses, principally, commuter and local business parking,
which is having an adverse impact upon the use and enjoyment of some of our most popular open spaces by park users.
The proposals for the parking scheme are:
The proposals for the parking scheme are.
30p per hour is the standard charge. Mobile phone payments will be possible, as well as coins.
The proposal is that parking charges will apply from 8am to 4pm. Charges will apply between Monday and
Saturday, not Sundays or Bank Holidays.
Parking will not be permitted between 11pm and 6am. Sanctions can therefore be applied to vehicles left overnight and we will also enjoy new powers to address issues in relation to untaxed and abandoned
vehicles that are currently unavailable to us.
There will imminently be a local consultation exercise on the scheme in line with normal Council
procedures for such traffic management initiatives with an opportunity for local people to submit their
views.
The income secured from the new parking scheme will be retained within the Greenspaces' accounts and will support the service's on-going revenue costs and new developments, particularly in those key parks
This support the service's on boing revenue costs and new developments, particularly in those key parks

where the parking scheme is being introduced. We expect that the overall capital costs of the scheme will $\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}{\overset{expect}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}}{\overset{\text{expect}}$

From: Chris Lee < Chris.Lee@merton.gov.uk>

be recovered during the second full operational year and on that basis consider this to be a worthwhile investment.

Whilst we recognise that we have recently employed a scheme whereby the park gates are left locked until 9.30am in order to deter parking that it is unrelated to the park's use, that scheme has not been universally popular amongst park users, and understandably so, considering that our parks formally open at 8am in the midweek and at 9am at weekends. We also consider that this arrangement has not successfully addressed the issue as we hoped that it would; our Greenspaces Manager has reported, for example, that on a recent midweek visit to the park, he struggled to find a parking space during the second half of the morning when the park was quiet and that the car park was entirely full when he departed site at around 11am.

We certainly recognise that there are some historical parking pressures in the Marina Avenue area but consider that these are adversely and unnecessarily affecting the park, and not vice versa, and that it is not unreasonable that we now seek to ensure that the benefits that the car park was designed to secure continue to be enjoyed by those that they were intended for, including customers of the commercial enterprises that operate within the park.

Regards

Chris Lee | Director of Environment & Regeneration

London Borough of Merton Merton Civic Centre, London Road, Morden, Surrey SM4 5DX Direct: 020 8545 3050 | Switchboard: 020 8274 4901

chris.lee@merton.gov.uk

www.merton.gov.uk

Intimate outdoor picnic concerts, Live at Wimbledon Park

August 26-29, find out more at merton.gov.uk/live

_		-	
From:			

Sent: 10 June 2016 12:30

To: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes; Councillor Brian Lewis-Lavender; Councillor Gilli Lewis-

Lavender; Councillor Stephen Alambritis; Chief Executive

Cc: stephen.hammond.mp@parliament.uk;

Subject: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Dear Mr Curran and Mr Alambritis

I will preface this email by saying that Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing field is an excellent community facility and that the council should continue to invest in it for the future. As a resident, I appreciate the recent investment in the new gates etc.

Notwithstanding the above, I would like to express my disappointment at the way pay and display (P&D) parking is being implemented by the council at the Joseph Hood Memorial playing fields in Motspur park/West Barnes.

As residents of no. a jimmediately adjacent to the park, we object to the implementation of pay and display (hours of operation currently unknown) in the park for the following reasons:-

- 1) This scheme will undoubtedly place additional parking pressure onto Marina Avenue and the surrounding residential streets.
- 2) The current arrangement of opening the gates at 9:30 AM already successfully deters commuters. Additional P&D measures will not improve the situation.
- 3) The impact on park users could be significant. The park is well used by members of the public and P&D could dissuade families and recreational users from using the facilities. As a resident I would like to see the park used to its full potential.
- 4) Rascals may be impacted. In our view a viable business in the pavilion is of benefit to the park. additional parking costs will deter customers.

in addition to the above, we are dissapointed that:-

1) As a resident that will be directly impacted by this proposal we have been provided no formal notification about the details of the controls or the scheme.	
2 We have not been consulted on our views on the suitability of P&D at this location	
3) We have not been consulted about the timings of the proposed control hours.	
Further questions that have been raised:-	
1) Has a cost benefit analysis been carried out on the scheme. When is it expected to break even?	
2) what is the expected revenue generation projection of the scheme?	
3) confirmation that the funds will be ring fenced for reinvestment in the park.	
A straw poll of the local residents in Marina Avenue indicates that there is concern about this scheme the details are a complete unknown at this point in time.	а
Please can the deployment of this scheme be suspended until residents are consulted?	
Thank you	
cc: Stephen Hammond MP	
cc: West Barnes councillors	
cc: Friends of SJHMPF	
cc: Raynes Park and West Barnes residents association	
Yours sincerely	

om: ent: 03 June 2016 12:06 o: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes ubject: Re: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields
Mary Jane,
anks for inquiring about this.
ne current arrangement to deter commuter parking in the SJHMPF car park is to open the gates at 9:3 n. This measure is very effective and does mean that the car park is not really used by commuters, who stead park in Marina and the surrounding streets.
ne parking is pretty bad in Marina already on weekdays. our concern is that depending on the timings e scheme, placing pay and display in the park will compound the effect on weekends as well, as creational park users will try and park in Marina instead of in the JHMPF car park at all times.
re the council are going to consult the residents on this please?
nanks
com: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes < <u>Mary-Jane.Jeanes@merton.gov.uk</u> > ent: 03 June 2016 10:05 o. ubject: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields

Dear Mr

I asked about the bases which were being built and have been told that they are for pay and display parking to deter commuters. I have pointed out that this will make the parking worse in Marina Avenue, and possibly Arthur Rd as well.

Kind regards

Mary-Jane

From:

Chris Lee

Sent:

22 June 2016 15:57

To:

Councillor Gilli Lewis-Lavender

Cc:

Doug Napier

Subject:

RE: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Hi Gilli, I understand the Traffic Management Order will be consulted upon . We are seeking to deal with unauthorised parking in car parks .

Regards

Chris

From: Councillor Gilli Lewis-Lavender

Sent: 22 June 2016 14:25

To: 'Howard Leigh'

Cc: Chris Lee; Councillor Brian Lewis-Lavender

Subject: RE: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Hi Chris

This is giving great concern to local residents as it had never been consulted with them I did query this a week or so ago following Balal's enquiry but ad yet I have not had a reply? Gilli

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

----Original Message----

From: 1.

٠.

Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2016 12:26 PM GMT Standard Time

The state of the s

To: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes; Councillor Brian Lewis-Lavender; Councillor Gilli Lewis-

Lavender; Councillor Stephen Alambritis; Chief Executive

Cc: stephen.hammond.mp@parliament.uk; c

Subject: Re: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

As a resident of Marina Avenue, in the same part of the road as we entirely support and endorse the comments made by about the implementation of pay parking in the Sir Joseph Hood memorial Playing Fields. Whatever the economic justification for such charges the impact on residents in Marina Avenue and our ability to park our cars close to home, and the need to stop the Avenue from becoming clogged with daily long term commuter parking seems to have been ignored.

The Council needs to think again.

Hara San

From: 1

Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 12:29 PM

To: Mary-Jane.Jeanes@merton.gov.uk; brian.lewis-lavender@merton.gov.uk; gilli.lewis-lavender@merton.gov.uk;

stephen.alambritis@merton.gov.uk; chief.executive@merton.gov.uk

Cc: stephen.hammond.mp@parliament.uk; c

Subject: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields pay and display parking scheme.

Dear Mr Curran and Mr Alambritis

I will preface this email by saying that Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing field is an excellent community facility and that the council should continue to invest in it for the future. As a resident, I appreciate the recent investment in the new gates etc.

Notwithstanding the above, I would like to express my disappointment at the way pay and display (P&D) parking is being implemented by the council at the Joseph Hood Memorial playing fields in Motspur park/West Barnes.

As residents of no.. ,immediately adjacent to the park, we object to the implementation of pay and display (hours of operation currently unknown) in the park for the following reasons:-

- 1) This scheme will undoubtedly place additional parking pressure onto Marina Avenue and the surrounding residential streets.
- 2) The current arrangement of opening the gates at 9:30 AM already successfully deters commuters. Additional P&D measures will not improve the situation.
- 3) The impact on park users could be significant. The park is well used by members of the public and P&D could dissuade families and recreational users from using the facilities. As a resident I would like to see the park used to its full potential.
- 4) Rascals may be impacted. In our view a viable business in the pavilion is of benefit to the park. additional parking costs will deter customers.

in addition to the above, we are dissapointed that:-

- 1) As a resident that will be directly impacted by this proposal we have been provided no formal notification about the details of the controls of the scheme.
- 2 We have not been consulted on our views on the suitability of P&D at this location
- 3) We have not been consulted about the timings of the proposed control hours.

Further questions that have been raised:-

- 1) Has a cost benefit analysis been carried out on the scheme. When is it expected to break even?
- 2) what is the expected revenue generation projection of the scheme?
- 3) confirmation that the funds will be ring fenced for reinvestment in the park.

A straw poll of the local residents in Marina Avenue indicates that there is concern about this scheme as the details are a complete unknown at this point in time.

Please can the deployment of this scheme be suspended until residents are consulted?

Thank you

cc: Stephen Hammond MP cc: West Barnes councillors

cc: Friends of SJHMPF

cc: Raynes Park and West Barnes residents association

Yours sincerely

Marina Avenue Motspur Park

From:

Sent: 03 June 2016 12:06

To: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes

Subject: Re: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields

Hi Mary Jane,

thanks for inquiring about this.

The current arrangement to deter commuter parking in the SJHMPF car park is to open the gates at 9:30 am. This measure is very effective and does mean that the car park is not really used by commuters, who instead park in Marina and the surrounding streets.

The parking is pretty bad in Marina already on weekdays. our concern is that depending on the timings of the scheme, placing pay and display in the park will compound the effect on weekends as well, as recreational park users will try and park in Marina instead of in the JHMPF car park at all times.

Are the council are going to consult the residents on this please?

Thanks

From: Councillor Mary-Jane Jeanes < Mary-Jane.Jeanes@merton.gov.uk >

Sent: 03 June 2016 10:05

To:

Subject: Sir Joseph Hood Memorial Playing Fields

Dear N

I asked aboput the bases which were being built and have been told that they are for pay and display parking to deter commuters. I have pointed out that this will make the parking worse in Marina Avenue, and possibly Arthur Rd as well.

Kind regards

Mary-Jane

Please help to reduce waste and do not print this message unless you really need to.

This message, including any attached files, is intended just for the use of the individual or organisation to whom it is addressed. Any opinions expressed are those of the sender, not Merton Council. Email is not secure, and the council accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracy, corruption or virus which has occurred during transmission.

This email may be subject to monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation and may be disclosed in response to a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

The message may contain information that is confidential or sensitive; you should handle it accordingly. If you have received this email message in error, you must not copy, disclose or make any further use of the information contained within it. Please notify the system manager (postmaster@merton.gov.uk) or the Head of Information Governance (data.protection@merton.gov.uk), and delete the message.

postmaster@merton.gov.uk	
http://www.merton.gov.uk	

Doug Napier Doug Napier From: Sent: 20 September 2016 07:41 To: Cc: Alan Trumper RE: Tamworth Farm - parking Subject: There is no dedicated mailshot for this - at least not so far as I am aware. Notices will be posted up on site for 6 weeks inviting comments as is the normal fashion for such things. There are several sites involved. We will endeavour to alert our key contacts, but it won't be Greenspaces that manages this consultation process. Regards, Doug ----Original Message-----From: Sent: 19 September 2016 10:15 To: Doug Napier Cc: h Subject: Re: Tamworth Farm - parking Many thanks Doug. Had assumed sooner as machines in place. Will keep an eye out for the consultation (can I / TFAS be added as a contact for any email out?) Thanks again > On 19 Sep 2016, at 08:49, Doug Napier < Doug. Napier@merton.gov.uk > wrote: > There will be a formal consultation process very soon and all the details will be available then. The details below were indeed our starting position. Midweek only is the proposal for Tamworth Rec. The start date will be determined by the consultation: 6 weeks from commencement being the soonest; then it's case of getting the P&D machines sorted. > Regards, > Doug > ----Original Message-----> From. > Sent: 18 September 2016 14:16 > To: Doug Napier Page 251

> Subject: Tamworth Farm - parking
> Hi Doug
> I hope you are well? We wanted to email plot holders re the parking at the Lavender nursery/Tamworth farm allotment, can you provide an update please? We have had some info from the nursery:
> - charges 8am - 4pm
> - 30p per hour, 20min slots with 10p minimum
> Can you confirm:
> - is this still correct?
> - will charges by weekdays only?
> - when will the charges start?
> Many thanks
>

> Please help to reduce waste and do not print this message unless you really need to.

> This message, including any attached files, is intended just for the use of the individual or organisation to whom it is addressed. Any opinions expressed are those of the sender, not Merton Council. Email is not secure, and the council accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracy, corruption or virus which has occurred during transmission.

- > This email may be subject to monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation and may be disclosed in response to a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.
- > The message may contain information that is confidential or sensitive; you should handle it accordingly.
- > If you have received this email message in error, you must not copy, disclose or make any further use of the information contained within it. Please notify the system manager (postmaster@merton.gov.uk) or the Head of Information Governance

(uata.protection@merton.gov.uk), and delete the message.

> postmaster@merton.gov.uk > http://www.merton.gov.uk

> -----

From:

Publicspace

Sent:

15 March 2017 10:53

To:

Doug Napier

Subject:

RE: TAMWORTH RECREATION

Thanks Doug.

Claire

Claire Walshe
Neighbourhood Client Officer
Public Space, Contracting and Commissioning
email: claire.walshe@merton.gov.uk

----Original Message-----From: Doug Napier

Sent: 15 March 2017 10:38

To: Publicspace

Subject: RE: TAMWORTH RECREATION

T&H will manage this. Just awaiting approval from the relevant Lead Member to set that process in motion. Personally, I have May in my head for the start, but it's now out of my/our control to a large extent. That last point is a key point to make in our defence.

Doug

----Original Message-----From: Publicspace

Sent: 15 March 2017 10:30

To: Doug Napier

Subject: RE: TAMWORTH RECREATION

Thanks Doug, who is co-ordinating this consultation and when does it start, just so I can give her some idea of timescales?

Claire

Claire Walshe
Neighbourhood Client Officer
Public Space, Contracting and Commissioning
email: claire.walshe@merton.gov.uk

----Original Message-----From: Doug Napier

Sent: 15 March 2017 09:36

To: Publicspace

Subject: RE: TAMWORTH RECREATION

There are official, formal procedures to be followed (TMOs), including consultation needs. The consultation period is 6 weeks.

As the machines are already in the ground, then I don't expect many more delays, assuming that there are no problems arising from the consultation. There is no specific date for switch-on for that reason as there could be objections/challenges to our proposals that need to be considered.

The fact that the machines are already in the ground should cut-out the horror/surprise element for those affected and head-off some of the objections, of course.

Doug

----Original Message-----From: Publicspace

Sent: 15 March 2017 09:21

To: Doug Napier Cc: Jeremy Gibson

Subject: FW: TAMWORTH RECREATION

Hi Doug,

Do you know when this parking meter will be in working order and how it will be enforced?

Thanks.

Claire

Claire Walshe
Neighbourhood Client Officer
Public Space, Contracting and Commissioning
email: claire.walshe@merton.gov.uk

-----Original iviessage-----

From: Jan 110

Sent: 15 March 2017 09:14

To: Publicspace

Subject: TAMWORTH RECREATION

By the way its is SPRING so please give us a date when the parking machine will be activated, why they have to wait for Spring is beyond us.

Regards

This email has been scanned for viruses and spam.